## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

| Robert O'Toole   | _            |                                     |
|--|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff(   | s)           | ON /// A OTION                      |
| V.   |              | CIVIL ACTION                        |
| ٧.   |              | NO. <u>04-11720-REK</u>             |
| The Standard Insurance   | <del>_</del> |                                     |
| Defenda  | int(s)       |                                     |
| ORDER OF REFERENCE   |              |                                     |
| FOR  |              |                                     |
| ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION   |              |                                     |
| After consultation with counsel and after consideration of the various alternative dispute resolution        |              |                                     |
| programs (ADR) available, I find this matter appropriate for ADR and accordingly, refer this case for the    |              |                                     |
| following ADR program:   |              |                                     |
| Tollowing ADIX program.  |              |                                     |
| SCREENING CO   | ONFERENCE    | EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION            |
| X MEDIATION  |              | MINI-TRIAL                          |
| SUMMARY JURY   | / TDIAI      | SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE               |
| <del></del>  |              |                                     |
| SPECIAL MASTER   |              |                                     |
| PRIVATE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM   |              |                                     |
|  |              |                                     |
| Upon notification of the time and place designated for the proceeding, counsel are directed to be            |              |                                     |
| present with their clients or with appropriate settlement authority and to provide any written documentation |              |                                     |
| which may be required for the particular ADR program. If counsel is engaged on trial or has any              |              |                                     |
| scheduling conflict and a continuance becomes necessary, the provider of the ADR program and other           |              |                                     |
| counsel should be advised of the conflict immediately.   |              |                                     |
|  | ,            |                                     |
| 1/31/05  |              | /s/ Robert E. Keeton                |
| DATE   |              | SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
|  |              |                                     |
| CASE CATEGORY  |              |                                     |
| <u>onde ontredontr</u>   |              |                                     |
| Admiralty  |              | Antitrust                           |
| Civil Rights   |              | Contract                            |
| Copyright/Trademark/Patent   |              | Environmental                       |
| ERISA  |              | FELA                                |
| Labor Relations  |              | Medical Malpractice                 |
| Personal Injury  |              | Product Liability                   |
| Shareholder Dispute  |              |                                     |
| Other  |              |                                     |